Rules Nyclaus — Devanahalli Town Mpty

12 L File No 62 of 1935-36 General and Revenue Secretariat

But the drafts sent continue to retain these provisions. The drafts therefore have ~~to~~ be finally revised by the President to be in accordance with the Resolns of the M'ct & their Submission for sanction of Govt ~~with a clean copies~~ — A

Asst Secy Legl WC

Govt 17/8

14 A — The Resident may be addressed accordingly 18/8/36

TYPE SECTION 24 AUG 1936 GENL & REV. SECTT.

15 Draft submitted for approval

GA 22/8/36

Govt 24/8/36

To The D C Bangalore Dt. No L 1644 Dt 26/8/36 Calls for the revised rules & Bye-laws of the Municipality

Sir A 26/8/36

Letter No C2-1056/35 36 dated 12th Dec 1936 from the D C Bangalore Dt, forwarding the revised rules and Bye-laws framed by the ~~Dasan~~ Devanahalli Town Mpty

17 The purport of this Govt letter no L 1644 dated 25/8/36 has not been understood at all by the President, Devanahalli Town Mpty as a reference to the steps attached

Map Y

octroi bylaws — Devanahalli Taluk
4335 p 36 176 \ 278 \ 278

Serial No

to the Schedule — in Flag C will show in fact sanction of Govt is not sought for the Taxation rule at all

Flag M

16 What is left for the orders of Govt are the bylaws for the levy of octroi in the Devanahalli Taluk and these may be sanctioned, with the observation that Taxation rules should be subd to Govt very early

Sd 28/1/37

Subd with regee to paras 13 & 14 supra

[redacted]

Sd 29/1/37

Addl Secy Law W C

(1) In rule (10) the last portion in black ink of the description, weight, quantity and if necessary the value of the goods' may be omitted as this information has been furnished in the declaration form mentioned in Schedule (2)

(11) In rule (12), the last portion in black ink Otherwise the officer in charge of the Bonded Warehouse may refuse to deliver the article' may be omitted, as the next rule (13) provides what the officer should do in case of non production of the receipt

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417—C I B—30 000—8 9 36

Rules and Bye-laws of the Devanahalli Town Municipality

Rel File No 62 of 1935-36 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

23 (iii) In rule 24, there is a marginal note in pencil '3 months' It is not clear whether the Municipal Council intends the period to be one year or three months. This must be made clear

24 The Octroi bye laws are otherwise accepted and may be approved

BXR 30/1/37

Asst Secy (L S G Br) 2/11/37

Draft D

25 Submitted with a draft G.O approving the bye laws, with the modifications suggested above -

26 In bye law 24, three months is the period to be allowed in such cases -

~~27~~ ~~16/12/36~~ A direction was added in the G.O to the effect that draft Taxation Rules should be framed & submitted for the approval of Govt.

Asst 17.2.37

28 Draft for approval

Dep. Secy) 17/2/37

II Member)

MM 2/5/3/37

Rlls 8/3/37

MM 2/9/3/37

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE BOARD & EX-OFFICIO SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT 1937 6131

593 R 17/2

'Rules and Bye-laws of the Devanahalli Town Fund' 2781/2

General and Pevenue Secretariat | File No | of 193 | [App E III—2

Serial No

The D C Bangalore
The Comptroller to Govt
No L 6607-8 dated 16/3/37
Sanctions the above Bye-laws
framed by the Devanahalli Town Fund Cl

1B1

19/3/37

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417—CPB—30 000—8 9 36

File No	of 193	General and Revenue Secretariat
		Serial No

Mi 63-35—58

To

I llf t comm t
pleas q te
No C2.1056/35-36
E One file

T l Add — DEPCOM
P tB N 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 8th July 193 6

174

REV SECT

DEN 10 JULY 1936

L&

From

H V RAMASWAMI, Esq , M A ,B L ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

VENKATARAMAPPA, Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore

Sir

Subject —

With reference to your letter No L 3596 dated the 13/15th November 1935, regarding the Octroi bye-laws and the taxation rules framed by the Devanahally Municipality, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire file of records submitted by the President of the Devanahally Municipal Council with his report No 139/35-36 dated the 27th June 1936 and to state that it is reported by the President that the bye-laws and Rules have been suitably amended as suggested by you

I request you to kindly obtain sanction of Government for the same

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H V Ramaswami
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

SVS 8-7-36

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 16/8/36

Signed by Dy Sec.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by E

Section mc

File No 62 35

Serial No

No L 1644/L Records

To The D C Bangalore Dt
Bangalore

Sir,

In returning herewith the file of records received regarding the Octroi bye-laws and the Taxation rules framed by the T Devanahalli Town Municipality, received with your letter No C2 1056/35-36 dated 8th July 1936, I am directed to observe that these Draft rules and Bye-laws have undergone various changes and modifications at the instance of the Md C, in pursuance of this Office letter No L 35-96 mc 62-35-20

WD 03601—GPB—30 000—23 9-35

dated 15th Nov 1935, and it is difficult to find out from the manuscript copies just up the final forms of the rules and bye-laws as approved by the Ml Cl, which alone require scrutiny and examination. For instance the resolution of the Ml Cl passed on 19/6/36 and the President's report of 27/6/36 show that no toll or tax on dogs are proposed to be levied, But the Drafts sent continue to retain these provisions & The Draft rules & bylaws therefore have to be finally revised by the President ~~of the Ml Cl~~ in accordance with the resolutions of the Ml Cl. ~~and~~ I am to request You ~~have submitted for~~ to instruct the ~~Board~~ Pdt to effect the ~~taxation of toll~~ necessary changes as directed above & then submit the rules & bylaws for sanction of Govt.

I have the

24/8/36

Yr very obdt servt

Dy Secy

Dy Secy to Govt

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 1644/R Revs *Dated Bangalore* 25th August *1936*

From Rev 62-35

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Development Department

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Sir

In returning herewith the file of records regarding the octroi bye-laws and the Taxation rules framed by the Devanahalli Town Municipality, received with your letter No C 2 1056/35-36 dated 8th July 1936, I am directed to observe that the draft rules and bye-laws have undergone various changes and modifications at the instance of the Municipal Council in pursuance of this office letter No L 3596/ML.62-35-20 dated 15th November 1935, and it is difficult to find out from the manuscript copies put up the final forms of the rules and bye-laws as approved by the Municipal Council which alone require scrutiny and examination For instance the resolution of the Municipal Council passed on 19th June 1936 and the President's report of 27th June 1936 show that no Toll or tax on dogs are propcsed to be levied, but the drafts sent continue to retain these provisions The drafts rules and bye-laws have therefore to be finally revised by the President in accordance with the resolutions of the Municipal Council I am to request you to instruct the President to effect the necessary changes as directed above and then submit the rules and bye-laws for the sanction of Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 3rd December *1936*

FROM

Vedavyasacharya, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

M Venkatanaranappa, Esq , B A ,
Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Development Department,
Bangalore

SIR

Subject —

With reference to your reminder No L 3660/L1 62-35 dated 17-11-1936 regarding submission of rules and bye-laws of the Devanahally Town Municipality, I have the honour to state that the President, Municipal Council, Devanahally has not submitted his report as yet A reminder has been issued to-day directing him to submit his report on the above subject at an early date On receipt of his report a further - communication will be made to you

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Vidyasahay
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

SVS 3-12-36

I Ill f tur mm t
pl q t
N C2-1056/35-36
E Cne tile

T l Add — DEPCOM
P tB N 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated Bangalore the 12th December *1936*

2781
REV SECTT
17 DEC 1936

FROM

Vedavyasacharya, E q , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
The SECRETARY
Development
GENERAL
15 DEC 1936

M. Venkatararanappa, E q , B A ,
Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Development Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject —

In continuation of my letter of even number dated 4th December 1936 regarding the revised rules and bye-laws of the Devarhalli Municipality, I have the honour to forward herewith the report together with the records received from the Amildar-President, Devanhalli Municipality and to state that it is reported by the President that necessary alterations as suggested in your letter No L 3596 dated 3/15-11-1935 have been made Statements both in English and Kannada showing schedule of tax in the Municipality have been prepared and enclosed in the file

I request you to be so good as to obtain sanction of Government in the matter

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
12/12

SS/-

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Section 9nl

File No 63 - 36

Serial No 59

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 16/3/1937

Signed by Sir V Bhashyam Ayyangar

Read— Correspondence ending with letter No C 2- 1056/35-36 dated the 12th Dec 1936 from the D C Bangalore Distt submitting for sanction draft by laws framed by the Devanahalli Taluk Board under Sec 48 (1) (K) of the Taluk Boards regulation 1933 for the levy of octroi at the rates noted therein for certain articles imported into the limits of

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

( ) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Hans Municipality

order no L 6607-8 dated 16 3 37

1. The ~~draft~~ bylaws are approved & appended to this order

2 The bylaws may be got printed & half a dozen copies sent to the Secty for reference & record

3. The D C Bangalore is requested to instruct the President of the Devanahalli Taluq Bd to frame draft Taxation Rules and submit them for approval of Govt very early.

List of Enclosure

17/2/37 Secy/Asst

To the D C Bangalore with the records

Copied by

Examined by

W D 03602—GPB 22 500—12 7 5

extra copy

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read –

Correspondence ending with letter No C2-1056/35-36 dated the 12th December 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting for sanction the draft bye-laws framed by the Devanahalli Town Municipal Council under section 48 (f) (k) of the Town Municipalities Regulation 1933 for the levy of octroi at the rates noted therein on certain articles imported into the limits of the said Municipality

Order No L 6607-8/mel 63-36-59

Dated Bangalore, 10th/16 March 1937

The bye-laws are approved and appended to this order

2 The bye-laws may be got printed and half a dozen copies sent to the Secretariat for reference and record

3 The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District is requested to instruct the President of the Devanahalli Town Municipal Council to frame draft Taxation Rules and submit them for approval of Government very early

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore with the records
The Comptroller to Government

R